



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/674,676 | 09/30/2003 | Marc A. Najork | MSFT-2557/304882.01 | 4999 |
| 41505 | 7590 | 11/21/2008 | EXAMINER | |
| WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION) | | | STACE, BRENT S | |
| CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 2929 ARCH STREET | | | 2161 | |
| PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 11/21/2008 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/674,676 | NAJORK ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | BRENT STACE | 2161 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BRENT STACE. (3) Bently.
 (2) Joseph Oriti. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 November 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,12 and 22.

Identification of prior art discussed: "Efficient Locking for Concurrently Operations onf B-Trees" (Lehman), 5434994 (Shaheen et al.), 5485608 (Lomet et al.).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Dsicussed the proposed claim amendments regarding "transactions associated with a single b-link tree/data structure." Some claim amendments appear to overcome the Lehman/Lomet combination from the last office action, but Shaheen appears to still apply. No agreement was reached with respect to the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/B. S./
 Examiner, Art Unit 2161

/Apu M Mofiz/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161